about chain saws for the logging industry. The chain saw shall be fueled at least 20 feet from any open flame; the chain-saw operator shall be certain of footing before starting to cut; prior to felling any tree, the chain-saw operator shall clear away brush or other potential obstacles which might interfere with cutting the tree; the chain saw shall be carried in a manner that will prevent operator contact with the cutting chain. Mr. Speaker, Federal regulators should use common sense, not regulate common sense. If American taxpayer's hard-earned money is going to pay for someone to write rules like these, then I know where the budget chain saw should be put to use next.

MALICE: SAYING NO TO A DECENT LUNCH FOR CHILDREN

(Mr. GUTIERREZ asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GUTIERREZ. Mr. Speaker, my colleagues talk about a "second Reagan Revolution."

Well, they are right—when it comes to providing decent food and nutrition to the children of America.

In the mid-1980's, millions of children suffered because the Federal Government cut funding for the school lunch program.

Now, today, to pay for more defense spending and tax giveaways to the rich contributors to the Republican Party, we are going to let kids go hungry again.

Maybe what we need is a revolution that reaches back a little farther in Republican Party history.

In 1865, facing an enemy far more dangerous than our Nation's school children, our greatest President—a Republican President—stated that we would heal our Nation's wounds "with malice toward none, with charity for all."

I say to my colleagues in the majority—saying no to a decent lunch for our Nation's children is malice, pure and simple.

With malice toward none, with charity for all.

How empty and distant those words seem to the party of Abraham Lincoln today.

THE TOP 10 LIST

(Mr. HAYWORTH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, from the home office in Scottsdale, AZ, the top 10 excuses liberal Democrats have for not voting for the balanced budget amendment.

No. 10, we might really have to slow spending.

No. 9, the dog ate my homework.

No. 8, fiscal responsibility phobia.

No. 7, the devil made me do it.

No. 6, if so many of the American people want it, it cannot be any good. No. 5, contract-envy.

No. 4, it wasn't me, it was a space alien with a remarkable resemblance to me.

No. 3, I did what?

No. 2, let's feed big government bureaucrats instead of little school children.

And the No. 1 excuse liberal Democrats have for not voting for the balanced budget amendment, they want early retirement in the next election.

CONGRESS SHOULD PUT AMERICAN INTERESTS FIRST

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, while one House committee voted to forgive a \$50 million loan for Jordan, another House committee voted to kill hundreds of thousands of American youth jobs in our communities, kill the home-ownership counseling program that saves the family home and saves taxpayers \$35,000 on every foreclosure. They also voted to kill all veterans' outpatient clinics that treats millions of American veterans.

Now think about it. Fifty-three billion dollars for Mexico but pink slips for American youth. Twelve billion dollars for Russia, but, ladies and gentlemen, mortgage foreclosure for American families. Fifty million dollars for Jordan, but cuts in health care for American veterans.

Think about it. No wonder America's bankrupt. Congress is either brain-dead or they're starting to drink some of that Boris vodka.

I say, ladies and gentlemen, take care of our own people before you take care of everybody all around the world. Beam me up on these cuts.

SUPPORT THE BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT

(Mr. LATOURETTE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. Latourette. Mr. Speaker, it is no coincidence that the work and farreaching goals of the 104th Congress are being compared to that of the Congress of 1933. Not since that time has Congress accomplished so much in so little time, when Franklin Delano Roosevelt presided over our Nation and steered the Congress to pass a bold new agenda called the New Deal, much of it during the first 100 days of his administration.

As we compare what happened then to what is taking place on the floor of this great House now, I am reminded of the prophetic words of FDR when he said, "It is common sense to take a method and try it. If it fails, admit it frankly and try another, but above all, try something."

"Above all, try something." Those four simple words cut right to the heart of the objectives of this Congress, the Contract With America, and in particular the balanced budget amendment. Only what we are proposing is to try something that works, something done by almost every State in the Union not to mention households and business.

For far too long, the U.S. Congress has been trying a method of balancing the budget which, quite simply, is a resounding failure.

Today the other body has an opportunity to do something magnificent for the future of this country, to do as FDR said, admit frankly that what we have tried in the past has failed and to try something new.

□ 1115

REPUBLICAN CONTRACT FAILS TO ADDRESS NATION'S CORE CHALLENGE

(Mr. KLINK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KLINK. Mr. Speaker, it is time we talk about this so-called Contract on America. Income has not increased as a result of this contract. Not one single job has been created because of this contract. No family in America is more secure as a result of the progress made on the Republican contract. The quality of life has not been improved for hardworking middle-class Americans because of this contract.

The bottom line is the contract has no meaningful impact on the lives of average Americans. The Republican contract fails to address our Nation's core challenge and that is raising our standard of living as a people.

In western Pennsylvania, cities like Beaver Falls, Aliquippa, and New Castle have up to 25 percent of their households living in poverty. Yet the contract will whack people on Social Security, whack Medicare, whack school lunches. This truly is a Contract on America, Mr. Speaker.

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT TO BAN AMERICAN FLAG DESECRATION

(Mr. QUINN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. QUINN. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pleasure that I rise today to join many of our colleague here in the House in support of a constitutional amendment to ban the desecration of the American flag.

Mr. Speaker, I just left an announcement on the left side of the Capitol with a group of Members, House Members, Senate Members, Republicans and Democrats, and hundreds of thousands of veterans from all over the country who are in support of this amendment.

The amendment states that "The Congress and the States shall have the power to prohibit the physical desecration of the Flag of the United States. Almost every State, 46 of the States in this country have asked us to do just that.

Let us give the States and the American people what they want and what our flag deserves.

Our Stars and Stripes stands for the principle of democracy. It represents all the hard fought battles for freedom and preservation of the American way of life. I call on my colleagues to join Representative JERRY SOLOMON, Representative SONNY MONTGOMERY, myself, and others to cosponsor this legislation in the coming weeks.

A SAD DAY FOR VETERANS

(Mr. VOLKMER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. VOLKMER. Mr. Speaker, today is the 56th day of the imperial speaker-

Mr. Speaker, 9 months ago a majority of my colleagues recognized the debt owed our veterans, and the need to ensure they receive proper and adequate medical care. Today I must rise to inform my colleagues that the contract we have with our Nation's veterans has been labeled expendable by the Republican majority, and I am here to issue a warning to the Nation's veterans that our contract pledged to our veterans is up for renegotiation under the Republican contract on America. Last week an appropriations subcommittee slashed \$206 million from Veterans Administration and the eliminated funding for six veterans care facilities as a way to help pay for the tax cuts promised to the rich in their contract on America. Mr. Speaker, it is a sad day in America when we place the desires of wealthy special interests over the needs of men and women who risked their lives to defend America.

AMERICA NEEDS THE BALANCED **BUDGET AMENDMENT**

(Mr. KINGSTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, today as we face final congressional action on passing the balanced budget amendment, it is a moment of national truth. Will we squirm and wiggle and sidestep our responsibility, will we cop out with politically palatable excuses or will we take the action because if we vote no today how much easier will it be to continue to vote for deficit spending?

Since 1969 we have not had a balanced budget. And every Democrat and Republican who has voted for this deficit spending has had a good excuse to do so. But it is time to stop this.

We need a balanced budget amendment. We can think of, and taxpayers above all can think of 41/2 trillion reasons to vote for a balanced budget May the best ideas win. amendment.

Ladies and gentlemen of America, watch today carefully. It is a critical day in the history of our Nation.

BALANCE THE BUDGET WITHOUT JEOPARDIZING CHILDREN

(Mr. HINCHEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HINCHEY. Mr. Speaker, the majority party of the House of Representatives has declared war on our Nation's children.

The leadership has taken their campaign against working Americans to one of its lowest points yet attacking the most vulnerable in our societymillions of American children who rely on school lunches for a well balanced meal every day.

The most profound effect will be upon the ability of our children to learn. Undernutrition effects a child's behavior and performance.

In support of a 1969 expansion of school nutrition programs, President Nixon once said: "A child ill fed is dulled in curiosity, lower in stamina, distracted from learning." What has happened to the Republican Party?

In my home State of New York, more than 1,700,000 children currently participating in the school lunch program will be affected by a cut in funding.

We can do better than to try to balance the budget by jeopardizing the health and nutrition of 13 million American children who depend on the School Nutrition Program each day for a balanced meal.

THE BATTLE LINES ARE DRAWN

(Mr. TIAHRT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TIAHRT. Mr. Speaker, the American people are clearly seeing where the battle lines are drawn. The Democrats will defend the Great Society of Lyndon Johnson and the \$4.5 trillion we have already been spending on a failed system.

Republicans are working to transform the welfare state into a work-forbenefits system.

Democrats will fight to keep the money flowing for the beltway bureaucrats here in Washington.

The Republicans will keep the money flowing back to the States like Kansas to help the American people.

The liberal Democrats have accused the Republicans of being mean-spirited because Republicans want to change the system that promotes destruction to the family, hurts children and that seriously undermines the future of our

The Republicans will work to change a system that has failed the American people completely.

The battle lines have been drawn.

SUPPORT FOR THE RISK ASSESS-MENT AND COST-BENEFIT ACT

(Mr. STENHOLM asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, as a long-time supporter of small business, I rise in support of H.R. 1022, the Risk Assessment and Cost-Benefit Act. It's simple: Risk anlaysis is good for small business.

Small business has had to contend with a literal blizzard of Government regulation in virtually every aspect of their operations. It is not just one or two big or major impacts from regulations, it is also death by a thousand cuts. It is the cumulative burden of paperwork, planning, and other compliance requirements that are often overlooked in the process of creating Federal regulations that are especially burdensome to smaller businesses. Mechanisms like those contained in H.R. 1022 will help to ensure that Government considers the total impact of the cumulative regulatory burden.

The small businesses impacted by many new regulations, especially in the environmental and worker safety area, do not have the resources to challenge or assess the increasingly scientific methods or exposure assumptions used by Federal agencies to justify new regulations.

Discussion and provision of regulatory options and risk scenarios early in the regulation development process will help small business by focusing resources and providing at least some assistance in an analysis process they cannot hope to shoulder on their own behalf.

In short, small business needs H.R. 1022 so that Federal agencies will be compelled to develop cost-effective regulations that will allow small businesses to both comply and remain economically viable.

THE REPUBLICAN CONTRACT WITH AMERICA IS ALIVE AND WELL

(Mr. DREIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DREIER. Mr. Speaker, wishful thinking is all there is to the statements that were made by the minority leader and the minority whip, wishful thinking based on the New York Times poll that came out this morning which had people raising some understandable concerns about the Contract With America. The reason for that is that it has not been understood appropriately.

Is there really a desire on the part of Republican Members of this House to ensure that young children are not able to gain lunches at school? Absolutely not. We believe that it can be done bet-